

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02015 121413Z

15

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 L-02

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 NSC-05 ACDA-05

BIB-01 DODE-00 CU-02 EB-07 STR-04 AGR-05 CIEP-01

COME-00 /102 W

----- 116335

P R 121240Z APR 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1160

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 5217

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 2015

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE, EEC, IT

SUBJ: ITALIAN DEBRIEF ON EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS' DISCUSSIONS  
ON CSCE

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

REF: DUBLIN 648

SUMMARY: ITALIAN DEBRIEF ON EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS' APRIL 8-9  
DELIBERATION ON CSCE IN DUBLIN LARGELY REFLECTED SUMMARY CON-  
TAINED REFTEL. POL DIRECTORS SPENT GREATEST PART OF TIME DIS-  
CUSSING CSCE FOLLOW-ON AND WILL ASK EC FONMINS TO DISCUSS  
QUESTION OF INTERIM PERIOD BEFORE PROSPECTIVE CSCE "REVIEW CON-  
FERENCE" AT THEIR APRIL 14-15 MEETING IN LUXEMBOURG. NINE  
NOW SEEM PREPARED ACCEPT TWO-YEAR INTERIM PERIOD. END SUMMARY.

1. AS PROJECTED PARA 6 REFTEL, ITALIANS FULLY DEBRIEFED NON-  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 02015 121413Z

EC ALLIES APRIL 11 ON EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS' APRIL 8-9 DUBLIN  
DISCUSSIONS ON CSCE.

2. ITALIAN PERMREP (CATALANO) OPENED SESSION BY DISTRIBUTING

COPIES OF:

--THE TEXT OF AN ORAL REPORT TO THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS  
BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE EC'S CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE.

-- A "DECISION SHEET" ON CSCE ISSUED BY THE POLITICAL  
COMMITTEE AT THE CLOSE OF ITS DISCUSSIONS.

--A 14-PAGE REPORT ON CONFERENCE FOLLOW-ON ARRANGEMENTS  
DRAFTED BY THE CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE NINE.

3. IN HIS ORAL REPORT TO THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS, THE CSCE  
SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT THE BREZHNEV LETTER ON  
STAGE III TIMING HAD NOT BROUGHT ANY MAJOR CHANGE IN SOVIET  
NEGOTIATING TACTICS ALTHOUGH SOME IMPROVEMENTS IN ATMOSPHERE  
AS WELL AS CERTAIN NEGOTIATING ADVANCES HAD BEEN NOTICED. FOR  
THEIR PART, THE NINE WILL REMAIN OPEN TO ANY SOVIET INITIATIVES  
TO MAKE PROGRESS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS BUT WILL REMAIN FIRM ON  
POSITIONS OF IMPORTANCE. ON OUTSTANDING CSCE ISSUES, THE  
SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT THE PEACEFUL CHANGE  
QUESTION REMAINED TO BE RESOLVED. THE NINE HOPED THAT THE  
U.S. TEXT WOULD PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR A SATISFACTORY  
OUTCOME. QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES TEXT AS  
WELL AS THE PREAMBLE AND FINAL CLAUSES OF THE RPINCIPLES  
DECLARATION ALSO REMAIN TO BE CONCLUDED. RE SOVIET INTEREST  
IN A MANEUVERS CBM ON A VOLUNTARY BASIS, THE NINE NOTED THAT  
NEGOTIATIONS IN GENEVA HAD NOT YET REVEALED EITHER THE FULL  
IMPLICATIONS OF THIS CONCEPT NOR THE DEGREE OF FLEXIBILITY  
THE SOVIETS WERE PREPARED TO SHOW ON SUCH MATTERS AS  
PARAMETERS FOR MANEUVERS TO BE NOTIFIED.

4. ON BASKET II, THE SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT THE  
PROBLEMS OF RECIPROCITY AND MFN TREATMENT REMAIN UNRESOLVED.

5. ON BASKET III, WORK REMAINS TO BE DONE ON WORKING CONDITIONS  
FOR JOURNALISTS, ON TRAVEL, AND ON CERTAIN OF THE CULTURAL  
TEXTS, I.E. READING ROOMS AND CULTURAL CONTACTS. EFFORT  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 02015 121413Z

SHOULD ALSO BE MADE TO SEE THAT FINAL BASKET III TEXTS  
REFLECT THE IMPORTANCE THE NINE ATTACH TO PERSONAL CONTACTS  
AND FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUAL ACCESS.

6. BEFORE CSCE STAGE II CONCLUDES, AGREEMENT AMONG THE  
VARIOUS TRANSLATIONS MUST BE GUARANTEED AND THE NATURE OF THE  
FINAL DOCUMENT AND PROVISIONS FOR SIGNING IT MUST BE WORKED  
OUT. THE SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN REFERRED TO THE SOVIET  
PREFERENCE FOR SEPARATE SIGNATURES ON EACH OF THE FOUR BASIC  
DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO STRESS THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PRINCIPLES

DECLARATION. THE ROMANIANS AND SOME NEUTRAL COUNTRIES WANT  
A SINGLE SIGNATURE, WHILE THE NINE CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE

FEATURES OF THE NETHERLANDS' PROPOSAL WHICH ESTABLISHES THE NON-JURIDICAL CHARACTER OF THE FINAL DOCUMENT AND CALLS FOR A SINGLE SIGNATURE TO REFLECT THE UNITY OF CONFERENCE RESULTS. THE NINE ARE ALSO STUDYING DIFFERENT POSSIBILITIES FOR GUARANTEEING THAT THE FINAL DOCUMENT BEST SERVES EC OBJECTIVES.

7. AT DUBLIN, THE EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS DISCUSSED CSCE ON THE BASIS OF THE ABOVE REPORT. ACCORDING TO AMBASSADOR CATALANO, THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE SPENT SOME 40 PERCENT OF ITS TIME DISCUSSING THE CONFERENCE FOLLOW-ON QUESTION WHICH WAS THE SUBJECT OF A 14-PAGE ANALYSIS BY THE CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE (TEXT POUCHED EUR/RPM - FROWICK AND CSCE DEL - MARESCA). IN A BRIEF DECISION SHEET ISSUED AT THE CLOSE OF THEIR DISCUSSIONS, THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS AGREED WITH SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN'S ORAL STATEMENT OF OUTSTANDING CSCE ISSUES. THEY ALSO SINGLED OUT TWO CSCE FOLLOW-ON ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION BY THE EC FOREIGN MINISTERS AT THEIR APRIL 14-15 MEETING IN LUXEMBOURG. MINISTERS WERE THUS ASKED TO ADDRESS THE QUESTION OF THE DURATION OF THE INTERIM PERIOD BETWEEN STAGE III AND THE CALLING OF A "REVIEW CONFERENCE" OF SENIOR OFFICIALS AS ENVISAGED IN THE DANISH PROPOSAL. MINISTERS WERE ALSO ASKED TO DISCUSS THE ADVISABILITY OF INFORMING OTHER CSCE PARTICIPANTS THAT THE DANISH PROPOSAL DOES NOT EXCLUDE THAT FOLLOW-ON MEETING OF SENIOR OFFICIALS COULD BE HELD NOT ONLY AT REGULAR INTERVALS BUT ALSO IN ANY OF THE CSCE PARTICIPANTS' CAPITALS ON A ROTATING BASIS. THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE ALSO DECIDED TO ASK CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 02015 121413Z

THE CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO CONTINUE ITS WORK ON CONFERENCE FOLLOW-ON AND TO DEVELOP A JOINT DRAFT FINAL CSCE DOCUMENT. PENDING DEVELOPMENT OF THE LATTER, THE NINE WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE NETHERLANDS' PROPOSAL ON A FINAL CSCE DOCUMENT.

8. DURING COURSE OF ABOVE PRESENTATION, ITALIANS NOTED THAT MINISTERS WERE BEING ASKED TO DECIDE WHETHER IDEA OF A REVIEW CONFERENCE IN 1977 SHOULD BE RETAINED PER DENMARK'S 1974 PROPOSAL, OR WHETHER THE NINE SHOULD ADHERE TO THREE-YEAR INTERIM PERIOD WHICH WAS ORIGINAL OBJECTIVE OF DANISH PROPOSAL. ITALIANS ADVISED THAT ALTHOUGH MINISTERS MIGHT OPT FOR 1977 AS DATE FOR FIRST REVIEW CONFERENCE, THEY WILL

INSIST THAT INTERIM PERIOD REMAIN AT LEAST TWO YEARS BY SUGGESTING, IF NECESSARY, THAT FIRST CONFERENCE BE HELD IN LATTER HALF OF 1977.

9. IN QUESTION FOLLOWING ITALIAN PRESENTATION, TURKISH PERMREP (ERALP) NOTED NUMBER OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES CITED BY EC AND PROBLEM THEY CREATED FOR CONCLUDING CONFERENCE BY JUNE 30. ITALIAN POLITICAL COUNSELOR (FERRETTI) REPLIED THAT NINE WERE NOT OPTIMISTIC ABOUT THE JUNE 30 DATE FOR STAGE III

PROPOSED BY BREZHNEV.BRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** MartinML  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO002015  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjio.tel  
**Line Count:** 166  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** DUBLIN 648  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** MartinML  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <17 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by MartinML>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ITALIAN DEBRIEF ON EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS' DISCUSSIONS ON CSCE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO, CSCE, EEC, IT  
**To:** STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS  
GENEVA  
EC BRUSSELS  
DUBLIN  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

